arXiv:1405.7065v1 [math.AG] 27 May 2014

THE MOTIVIC THOM-SEBASTIANI THEOREM FOR REGULAR AND FORMAL FUNCTIONS

LÊ QUY THUONG

Abstract. Thanks to Hrushovski-Loeser's work on motivic Milnor fibers, we give a model-theoretic proof for the motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem in the case of regular functions. Moreover, slightly extending of Hrushovski-Loeser's construction adjusted to Sebag, Loeser and Nicaise's motivic integration for formal schemes and rigid varieties, we formulate and prove an analogous result for formal functions. The latter is meaningful as it has been a crucial element of constructing Kontsevich-Soibelman's theory of motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants.

1. Introduction

Let f and g be holomorphic functions on complex manifolds of dimensions d_1 and d_2, having isolated singularities at $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$, respectively. Define $f \oplus g$ by $f \oplus g(x, y) = f(x) + g(y)$. Let $F_{f,\mathbf{x}}$ be the (topological) Milnor fiber of $(f, \mathbf{x})$, the same for $(g, \mathbf{y})$ and $(f \oplus g, (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))$. The original Thom-Sebastiani theorem [24] states that there exists an isomorphism between the cohomology groups

$$H^{d_1+d_2-1}(F_{f\oplus g,(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^{d_1-1}(F_{f,\mathbf{x}}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^{d_2-1}(F_{g,\mathbf{y}}, \mathbb{Q})$$

compatible with the monodromies. Steenbrink in [25] refined a conjecture on the Thom-Sebastiani theorem for the mixed Hodge structures, which was fulfilled later and independently by Varchenko [27] and Saito [22]. In the letters to A'Campo (1972) and to Illusie (1999), Pierre Deligne discussed the ℓ-adic version for an arbitrary field (rather than complex numbers), in which he replaced the Milnor fibers by the nearby cycles and used Laumon's construction of convolution product (cf. [16, Définition 2.7.2]); this work recently has been fully realized by Fu [8]. Furthermore, Denef-Loeser [5] and Looijenga [19] also provided proofs of the motivic version for motivic vanishing cycles in the case of fields of characteristic zero, from which the classical results were recovered without the hypothesis that $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ are isolated singularities.

We come back to the problem on the motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem in the framework for the motivic Milnor fibers of *formal* functions. It has been likely a formally unsolved problem, but already used in Kontsevich-Soibelman's theory of motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants for non-commutative Calabi-Yau threefolds (see [15]). Using Temkin's results on resolution of singularities of an excellent formal scheme [26] and Denef-Loeser's formulas for the motivic Milnor fiber of a regular function [3, 6], Kontsevich and Soibelman introduce in [15] the motivic Milnor fiber of a formal function. The motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem for formal functions

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03C60, 14B20, 14E18, 14G22, 32S45, 11S80.

Key words and phrases. definable sets, formal schemes, motivic integration, volume Poincaré series, motivic Milnor fiber, analytic Milnor fiber, Thom-Sebastiani theorem, convolution.

that concerns this notion is a key to construct the motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants. In fact, it has the same interpretation as Denef-Loeser's and Looijenga's local version (cf. [5], [19]) and a complete proof for it should be required. This is the main purpose of the present article.

The motivic Milnor fiber of a regular function may be described in terms of resolution of singularity, after the works of Denef-Loeser [3, 6, 7] and of Guibert-Loeser-Merle [10, 11, 12]. In particular, Guibert-Loeser-Merle had the refinement when applying this method to further extensions of the motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem (see [10, 11, 12]). Recently, with the help of Hrushovski-Kazhdan's motivic integration, Hrushovski and Loeser [14] even give a more flexible manner to describe the motivic Milnor fiber in terms of the data of the corresponding analytic Milnor fiber (introduced by Nicaise-Sebag [20]). An important application of this approach is our proof of the integral identity conjecture in [17]. Also in [17], a slight generalization of Hrushovski-Loeser's construction [14] combined with Nicaise's formula on volume Poincaré series [21] allows to interpret in the same way as in [14] the motivic Milnor fiber of a formal function. However, this method requires the restriction to studying over algebraically closed fields of characteristic zero (hence the hypothesis in the present work).

Our article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic and essential backgrounds on the motivic Milnor fiber of a regular function, in which the local form of Denef-Loeser and Looijenga's motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem is included (Theorem 2.1), using the main references [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and [19]. The local form states that

$$\mathcal{S}^{\phi}_{f\oplus g,(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})} = \mathcal{S}^{\phi}_{f,\mathbf{x}} * \mathcal{S}^{\phi}_{g,\mathbf{y}},$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{f,\mathbf{x}}$ is the motivic Milnor fiber of $(f,\mathbf{x})$, $\mathcal{S}^{\phi}_{f,\mathbf{x}} := (-1)^{d_1-1}(\mathcal{S}_{f,\mathbf{x}} - 1)$, the same for $(g,\mathbf{y})$ and $(f\oplus g,(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}))$, and $*$ is the convolution product (cf. Subsection 2.3). Here, one does not need to assume that $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ are isolated singular points. Using the tools from [13] and [14], recalled partly here in Section 4, we introduce a new proof for this formula in Section 5. Notice that the previous formula lives in the monodromic Grothendieck ring $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$, by a technical reason, however, our proof only runs in a localization of $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$.

In Section 3, we mark the highlights and the essences of motivic integration for special formal schemes, following [23], [18], [20], [21] and [17]. In particular, by [17], we show that Kontsevich-Soibelman's motivic Milnor fiber of a formal function and Nicaise's volume Poincaré series mention on the same thing and this can be also read off from the corresponding analytic Milnor fiber. Furthermore, we can use the model-theoretic tools recalled in Section 4 to describe the volume Poincaré series, hence the motivic Milnor fiber of a formal function. The formal version of the motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem has the same form as the regular one but f and g replaced by formal functions $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$, respectively (Theorem 3.4). It is proven in Section 6 using the development of tools in Section 4 as well as some analogous techniques in the proof of the regular version in Section 5.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to François Loeser, Julien Sebag and Michel Raibaut for their useful discussions. He would like to thank the Centre Henri Lebesgue and the Université de Rennes 1 for awarding him a postdoctoral fellowship and an excellent atmosphere during his stays there. This work was partially supported par the Centre Henri Lebesgue in the program "Investissements d'avenir" — ANR-11-LABX-0020-01. Earlier part of the manuscript was done at

the Institut de Mathématiques de Jusieu, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, under Loeser's guidance, partially supported by ERC under the European Communitys Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC Grant Agreement no. 246903/NMNAG.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the present article, we always assume that k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

2.1. **Grothendieck rings of algebraic varieties.** By definition, an *algebraic k-variety* is a separated reduced k-scheme of finite type. Let Var_k be the category of algebraic k-varieties, its morphisms are morphisms of algebraic k-varieties. The *Grothendieck group* $K_0(\mathrm{Var}_k)$ is an abelian group generated by symbols $[\mathcal{X}]$ for objects $\mathcal{X}$ in Var_k subject to the relations $[\mathcal{X}] = [\mathcal{Y}]$ if $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are isomorphic in Var_k, $[\mathcal{X}] = [\mathcal{Y}] + [\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Y}]$ if $\mathcal{Y}$ is Zariski closed in $\mathcal{X}$. Moreover, $K_0(\mathrm{Var}_k)$ is also a ring with unit with respect to the cartesian product. Set $\mathbb{L} := [\mathbb{A}^1_k]$ and denote by $\mathcal{M}_k$ the localization of $K_0(\mathrm{Var}_k)$ with respect to the multiplicative system $\{\mathbb{L}^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Let μ_m (or $\mu_m(k)$) be the group scheme of mth roots of unity in k. Varying $m \geq 1$ in $\mathbb{N}$, such schemes give rise to a projective system with respect to morphisms $\mu_{mn} \to \mu_m$ given by $\xi \mapsto \xi^n$, and its limit will be denoted by $\hat{\mu}$. A *good μ_m-action* on an object $\mathcal{X}$ of Var_k is a group action of μ_m on $\mathcal{X}$ such that each orbit is contained in an affine k-subvariety of $\mathcal{X}$. A *good $\hat{\mu}$-action* on $\mathcal{X}$ is a $\hat{\mu}$-action which factors through a good μ_m-action for some $m \geq 1$ in $\mathbb{N}$.

The *$\hat{\mu}$-equivariant Grothendieck group* $K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_k)$ is an abelian group generated by the iso-equivariant classes of varieties $[\mathcal{X}, \sigma]$, with $\mathcal{X}$ an algebraic k-variety, σ a good $\hat{\mu}$-action on $\mathcal{X}$, modulo the conditions $[\mathcal{X}, \sigma] = [\mathcal{Y}, \sigma|_{\mathcal{Y}}] + [\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Y}, \sigma|_{\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathcal{Y}}]$ if $\mathcal{Y}$ is Zariski closed in $\mathcal{X}$ and $[\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{A}^n_k, \sigma] = [\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{A}^n_k, \sigma']$ if σ, σ' lift the same $\hat{\mu}$-action on $\mathcal{X}$ to an affine action on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{A}^n_k$. In the present article we shall write $[\mathcal{X}, \sigma]$ simply by $[\mathcal{X}]$ when the $\hat{\mu}$-action σ is clear. Similarly as previous, $K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_k)$ has a natural ring structure due to the cartesian product. Let $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$ denote $K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_k)[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$, it is the $\hat{\mu}$-equivariant version of $\mathcal{M}_k$ above. Let $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$ be the localization of $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$ with respect to the multiplicative family generated by the elements $1 - \mathbb{L}^i$, with $i \geq 1$ in $\mathbb{N}$. We shall also write loc for the localization morphism $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}} \to \mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$.

2.2. **Motivic Milnor fiber.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a pure d-dimensional smooth k-variety, f a non-constant regular function on $\mathcal{X}$, and $\mathbf{x}$ a closed point in the zero locus of f. Denote by $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{x},m}$ (or $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{x},m}(f)$) the set of arcs $\varphi(t)$ in $\mathcal{X}(k[t]/(t^{m+1}))$ originated at $\mathbf{x}$ with $f(\varphi(t)) \equiv t^m \mod t^{m+1}$, which is a locally closed subvariety of k-variety $\mathcal{X}(k[t]/(t^{m+1}))$. Since $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{x},m}$ is invariant by the $\hat{\mu}$-action on $\mathcal{X}(k[t]/(t^{m+1}))$ given by $\xi \cdot \varphi(t) = \varphi(\xi t)$, it defines an iso-equivariant class $[\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{x},m}]$ in $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$. The *motivic zeta function of f at $\mathbf{x}$* is the formal series

$$Z_{f,\mathbf{x}}(T) = \sum_{m \geq 1} [\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{x},m}] \mathbb{L}^{-md} T^m$$

with coefficients in $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$. By Denef-Loeser [3], $Z_{f,\mathbf{x}}(T)$ is a *rational* function, i.e., a $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$-linear combination of 1 and products finite (possibly empty) of $\mathbb{L}^a T^b/(1-\mathbb{L}^a T^b)$ with (a, b) in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. Remark that we can take by [6] the limit $\lim_{T\to\infty}$ for rational functions such that $\lim_{T\to\infty} \left(\mathbb{L}^a T^b/(1 - \mathbb{L}^a T^b)\right) = -1$. Then the *motivic Milnor*

fiber of f at x is defined as $-\lim_{T\to\infty} Z_{f,\mathbf{x}}(T)$ and denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{f,\mathbf{x}}$. This is a virtual variety in $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$.

2.3. **The motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem for regular functions.** In this subsection, we restate the motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem for motivic Milnor fibers.

Let us recall the concept of convolution product from [5], [19] and [10]. Consider the Fermat varieties F_0^m and F_1^m in $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^2$ defined by the equations $u^m+v^m=0$ and $u^m+v^m=1$, respectively. We endow with the standard $(\mu_m\times\mu_m)$-action on these varieties. If $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are algebraic k-varieties with μ_m-action, one defines

$$[\mathcal{X}]*[\mathcal{Y}]=-[F_1^m\times^{\mu_m\times\mu_m}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})]+[F_0^m\times^{\mu_m\times\mu_m}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})],$$

where, for $i\in\{0,1\}$,

$$F_i^m\times^{\mu_m\times\mu_m}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})=F_i^m\times(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})/_\sim$$

with $(au,bv,x,y)\sim(u,v,ax,by)$ for any a, b in μ_m. The group scheme μ_m acts diagonally on $F_i^m\times^{\mu_m\times\mu_m}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})$. Passing to the projective limit that $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$ equals $\varprojlim\mathcal{M}_k^{\mu_m}$, we get the convolution product $*$ on $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$. This product is commutative and associative (see for example [10]).

Let f and g be regular functions on smooth algebraic k-varieties $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$, respectively. Define $f\oplus g(x,y)=f(x)+g(y)$. For closed points $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathcal{X}_0$ and $\mathbf{y}$ in $\mathcal{Y}_0$, we set

$$\mathcal{S}_{f,\mathbf{x}}^{\phi}=(-1)^{\dim\mathcal{X}-1}(\mathcal{S}_{f,\mathbf{x}}-1),\quad \mathcal{S}_{g,\mathbf{y}}^{\phi}=(-1)^{\dim\mathcal{Y}-1}(\mathcal{S}_{g,\mathbf{y}}-1).$$

Theorem 2.1 ([5], [19])**.** *The identity $\mathcal{S}_{f\oplus g,(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}^{\phi}=\mathcal{S}_{f,\mathbf{x}}^{\phi}*\mathcal{S}_{g,\mathbf{y}}^{\phi}$ holds in $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$.*

Remark 2.2. In fact, in [5] and [19], one proved the motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem in the framework of motivic vanishing cycles, which implies Theorem 2.1.

3. The motivic Thom-Sebastiani formula for formal functions

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a generically smooth special formal $k[[t]]$-scheme of relative dimension d, with reduction $\mathfrak{X}_0$ and structural morphism $\mathfrak{f}$. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a closed point of $\mathfrak{X}_0$.

3.1. **The motivic Milnor fiber of a formal function.** By [26] (see also [21]), there exists a resolution of singularities $\mathfrak{h}:\mathfrak{Y}\to\mathfrak{X}$ of $\mathfrak{X}_0$. Let $\mathfrak{E}_i$, $i\in J$, be the irreducible components of $(\mathfrak{Y}_s)_{\mathrm{red}}$. Let N_i be the multiplicity of $\mathfrak{E}_i$ in $\mathfrak{Y}_s$. We set $E_i=(\mathfrak{E}_i)_0$ for $i\in J$, $E_I=\bigcap_{i\in I}E_i$ and $E_I^\circ=E_I\setminus\bigcup_{j\notin I}E_j$ for a nonempty subset I of J. Let $\{U\}$ be a covering of $\mathfrak{Y}$ by affine open subschemes with $U\cap E_I^\circ\neq\emptyset$ such that, on this piece, $\mathfrak{f}\circ\mathfrak{h}=\widetilde{u}\prod_{i\in I}y_i^{N_i}$, where $\widetilde{u}$ is a unit, y_i is a local coordinate defining E_i. Set $m_I:=\gcd(N_i)_{i\in I}$. One can construct as in [7] an unramified Galois covering $\pi_I:\widetilde{E}_I^\circ\to E_I^\circ$ with Galois group μ_{m_I}, which is given over $U\cap E_I^\circ$ by

$$\{(z,y)\in\mathbb{A}_k^1\times(U\cap E_I^\circ):z^{m_I}=\widetilde{u}(y)^{-1}\}.$$

$\widetilde{E}_I^\circ$ is endowed with a natural μ_{m_I}-action good over E_I° obtained by multiplying the z-coordinate with elements of μ_{m_I}. We also restrict this covering over $E_I^\circ\cap\mathfrak{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$

and obtain a class, written as $[\widetilde{E}_I^\circ \cap \mathfrak{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})]$, in $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$. The *motivic Milnor fiber of the formal germ* $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbf{x})$*, or of* $\mathfrak{f}$ *at* $\mathbf{x}$, is defined to be the quantity

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} (1-\mathbb{L})^{|I|-1} [\widetilde{E}_I^\circ \cap \mathfrak{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})]$$

in $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$. We denote it by $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbf{x})$ or by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},\mathbf{x}}$. By [17, Lemma 5.7], using volume Poincaré series, $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},\mathbf{x}}$ is well defined, i.e., independent of the choice of the resolution of singularities $\mathfrak{h}$.

Remark 3.1. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbf{x}}$ denote the formal completion of $\mathfrak{X}$ at $\mathbf{x}$, and let $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathbf{x}}$ be the structural morphism of $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbf{x}}$, which is induced by $\mathfrak{f}$. We are able to use a resolution of singularity of $\mathfrak{X}$ at $\mathbf{x}$ to define the motivic Milnor fiber $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_{\mathbf{x}},\mathbf{x}}$. Then, it is clear that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},\mathbf{x}} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_{\mathbf{x}},\mathbf{x}}$.

3.2. **Integral of a gauge form and volume Poincaré series.**

3.2.1. *Stft formal schemes.* Assume that $\mathfrak{X}$ is a separated generically smooth formal $k[[t]]$-scheme topologically of finite type and that the relative dimension of $\mathfrak{X}$ is d. One may regard $\mathfrak{X}$ as the inductive limit of the $k[t]/(t^{m+1})$-schemes topologically of finite type $\mathcal{X}_m = (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \otimes_{k[[t]]} k[t]/(t^{m+1}))$ in the category of formal $k[[t]]$-schemes. By Greenberg [9], there exists a unique k-scheme $\mathrm{Gr}_m(\mathcal{X}_m)$ topologically of finite type, up to isomorphism, which for any k-scheme $\mathcal{Y}$ admits a natural bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{Y}, \mathrm{Gr}_m(\mathcal{X}_m)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)}(\mathcal{Y} \times_k k[t]/(t^{m+1}), \mathcal{X}_m).$$

These k-schemes $\mathrm{Gr}_m(\mathcal{X}_m)$ together with the natural translation gives rise to a projective system, we denote its limit by $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$ (cf. [23], [18]). We denote by π_m the canonical projection $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_m(\mathcal{X}_m)$. See more in [9] for some basic properties of the functor Gr.

By [23], [18], the motivic measure of a stable cylinder A in $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$ is the following

$$\mu(A) = [\pi_\ell(A)]\mathbb{L}^{-(\ell+1)d} \tag{3.1}$$

for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough. Let $\alpha : A \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ be a function on A that takes only a finite number of values such that every fiber $\alpha^{-1}(m)$ is a stable cylinder in $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$. Let ω be a gauge form on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$. By [2, Proposition 1.5] (see also [18]), there exists a canonical isomorphism $\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}(\mathfrak{X}_\eta) \cong \Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}|k[[t]]}(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes_{k[[t]]} k((t))$, thus there exist an n in $\mathbb{N}$ and a differential form $\widetilde{\omega}$ in $\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}|k[[t]]}(\mathfrak{X})$ such that $\omega = t^{-n}\widetilde{\omega}$. Let φ be a point of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$ outside $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{sing}})$. Then, we can regard it as a morphism of formal schemes $\mathrm{Spf}(k[[t]]) \to \mathfrak{X}$, or as a morphism of rings $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{X}) \to k[[t]]$. Thus it induces a morphism of rings $\widetilde{\varphi} : \varphi^* \Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}|k[[t]]}(\mathfrak{X}) \to k[[t]]$, which is a surjection. One defines

$$\mathrm{ord}(\widetilde{\omega})(\varphi) = \mathrm{ord}_t(\widetilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*\widetilde{\omega})) \ \text{ and } \ \mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\omega) = \mathrm{ord}(\widetilde{\omega}) - n. \tag{3.2}$$

The latter is independent of the choice of $\widetilde{\omega}$ (cf. [18]). Since ω is a gauge form, it follows from [18, Proof of 4.1.2] that $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\omega)$ is a integer-valued function taking only a finite number of values and that its fibers are stable cylinder. Then one defines (cf. [23], [18])

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta} |\omega| := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu\left(\{\varphi \in \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X}) \mid \mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\omega)(\varphi) = m\}\right) \mathbb{L}^{-m} \in \mathcal{M}_k. \tag{3.3}$$

3.2.2. *Special formal schemes.* We consider the more general case where $\mathfrak{X}$ is a generically smooth special formal $k[[t]]$-schemes (see [1] for definition). Let $\mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ be a *Néron smoothening for* $\mathfrak{X}$, i.e. a morphism of special formal $k[[t]]$-schemes, $\mathfrak{Y}$ adic smooth over $k[[t]]$, inducing an open embedding $\mathfrak{Y}_\eta \to \mathfrak{X}_\eta$ with $\mathfrak{Y}_\eta \widehat{\otimes}_{k((t))} K = \mathfrak{X}_\eta \widehat{\otimes}_{k((t))} K$ for any finite unramified extension K of $k((t))$. It exists by [21], furthermore, we are able to (and we shall from now on) choose $\mathfrak{Y}$ to be separated generically smooth formal $k[[t]]$-scheme topologically of finite type. Using [21, Propositions 4.7, 4.8], for any gauge form ω on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$, we define

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta} |\omega| := \int_{\mathfrak{Y}_\eta} |\omega| \in \mathcal{M}_k.$$

For any m in $\mathbb{N}_{>0}$, let $\mathfrak{X}(m) := \mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes}_{k[[t]]} k[[t^{1/m}]]$, $\mathfrak{X}_\eta(m) := \mathfrak{X}_\eta \widehat{\otimes}_{k((t))} k((t^{1/m}))$ and $\omega(m)$ the pullback of ω via the natural morphism $\mathfrak{X}_\eta(m) \to \mathfrak{X}_\eta$. The Néron smoothening $\mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ for $\mathfrak{X}$ induces a Néron smoothening $\mathfrak{Y}(m) \to \mathfrak{X}(m)$ for $\mathfrak{X}(m)$ and $\mathfrak{Y}(m)$ is also topologically of finite type, like $\mathfrak{Y}$. The canonical μ-action on $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{Y}(m)$ is given by $a\varphi(t^{1/m}) = \varphi(at^{1/m})$. It induces a μ_m-action on $\int_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta} |\omega|$, thus we regard $\int_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta} |\omega|$ as an element of $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$.

3.2.3. *Volume Poincaré series.* Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a generically smooth special formal $k[[t]]$-schemes, $\mathbf{x}$ a closed point of $\mathfrak{X}_0$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_\mathbf{x}$ the formal completion of $\mathfrak{X}$ at $\mathbf{x}$. Denoting by $]\mathbf{x}[$ the tube of $\mathbf{x}$, namely *the analytic Milnor fiber of* $\mathfrak{f}$ *at* $\mathbf{x}$ (cf. [20]), we have the canonical isomorphism $]\mathbf{x}[\cong (\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_\mathbf{x})_\eta$. Set $]\mathbf{x}[_m :=]\mathbf{x}[\times_{k((t))} k((t^{1/m}))$. Let us consider the volume Poincaré series of $(]\mathbf{x}[, \omega)$, where ω is a gauge form on $]\mathbf{x}[$, (cf. [21])

$$S(]\mathbf{x}[, \omega; T) := \sum_{m \geq 1} \left(\int_{]\mathbf{x}[_m} |\omega(m)| \right) T^m \in \mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]].$$

Remark 3.2. More generally, the volume Poincaré series of separated generically smooth formal schemes topologically of finite type (resp. separated quasi-compact smooth rigid varieties) were introduced and studied first by Nicaise-Sebag in [20]. After that, Nicaise [21] studied these objects in the framework of generically smooth special formal schemes (resp. bounded smooth rigid varieties).

In practice, one may assume ω is $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_\mathbf{x}$-bounded, i.e., ω lies in the image of the natural map $(\Omega^d_{\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_\mathbf{x}|k[[t]]} \otimes_{k[[t]]} k((t)))(\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_\mathbf{x}) \to \Omega^d_{]\mathbf{x}[|k((t))}(]\mathbf{x}[)$ (cf. [21, Definition 2.11]).

Since k is an algebraically closed field, $S(]\mathbf{x}[, \omega; T)$ is independent of the choice of the uniformizing parameter t. Indeed, let t' be another uniformizing parameter for $k[[t]]$. Then $t' = \alpha t$, where $\alpha = \alpha(t) \in k[[t]]$ and $\alpha(0) \in k^\times$. Since k contains all roots, the mth roots of α are again in $k[[t]]$. This induces a canonical isomorphism of $k((t))$-fields $k((t^{1/m})) \to k((t'^{1/m}))$, that implies the previous claim. By Nicaise [21, Corollary 7.13], if the gauge form ω is $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_\mathbf{x}$-bounded, this series $S(]\mathbf{x}[, \omega; T)$ is a rational function.

Proposition 3.3. *With the notation and the hypotheses as previous, the following identity holds in* $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$*:*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},\mathbf{x}} = -\mathbb{L}^d \lim_{T \to \infty} \sum_{m \geq 1} \left(\int_{]\mathbf{x}[_m} |\omega(m)| \right) T^m.$$

Proof. The identity is true in $\mathcal{M}_k$ because of the definition of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},\mathbf{x}}$ as well as Nicaise's formula for $-\lim_{T\to\infty} S(]\mathbf{x}[,\omega;T)$ in [21, Proposition 7.36]. To see that it is true in $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$, we refer to the proof of Lemma 5.7 in [17]. □

3.3. **Statement of result for formal functions.** Given integers $d_1 \geq 1$ and $d_2 \geq 1$. Let f be a formal power series in $k[[x]]$ with $f(0) = 0$ and g in $k[[y]]$ with $g(0) = 0$. Here $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{d_1})$, $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_{d_2})$ and we write by the same symbol 0 for the origin of $\mathbb{A}_k^{d_1}$, $\mathbb{A}_k^{d_2}$ or $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ (whenever necessary, e.g., in Section 6, however, we shall write 0_{d_i} for the origin of $\mathbb{A}_k^{d_i}$, $i \in \{1,2\}$). Let us consider the following special formal $k[[t]]$-schemes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{X} &:= \mathrm{Spf}\,(k[[t,x]]/(f(x)-t))\,,\\ \mathfrak{Y} &:= \mathrm{Spf}\,(k[[t,y]]/(g(y)-t))\,,\\ \mathfrak{X}\oplus\mathfrak{Y} &:= \mathrm{Spf}\,(k[[t,x,y]]/(f(x)+g(y)-t))\,,\end{aligned}$$

with structural morphisms $\mathfrak{f}$, $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{f}\oplus\mathfrak{g}$ induced by f, g and $f\oplus g$, respectively. Set $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},0}^{\phi} := (-1)^{d_1-1}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},0} - 1)$ and the same for $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{f}\oplus\mathfrak{g}$. We now set up the statement of the motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem for formal schemes and then prove it in the setting of $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$, using Hrushovski-Kahdan's integration [13] via the work of Hrushovski and Loeser [14].

Theorem 3.4. *The identity* $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}\oplus\mathfrak{g},(0,0)}^{\phi} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},0}^{\phi} * \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{g},0}^{\phi}$ *holds in* $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$.

The complete proof is given in Section 6.

4. Extension of Hrushovski-Loeser's morphism

4.1. **The theory** $\mathrm{ACVF}_{k((t))}(0,0)$**.** We consider the theory $\mathrm{ACVF}_{k((t))}(0,0)$ of algebraically closed valued fields of equal characteristic zero that extend $k((t))$ (cf. [13]). Its sort VF admits the language of rings, while the sort RV is endowed with abelian group operations $\cdot$, $/$, a unary predicate $\mathbf{k}^\times$ for a subgroup, a binary operation $+$ on $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}^\times \cup \{0\}$. We also have an imaginary sort Γ that is with a uniquely divisible abelian group. For a model L of this theory, let R_L (resp. $\mathfrak{m}_L$) denote its valuation ring (resp. the maximal ideal of R_L). The following are the "elementary" L-definable sets of $\mathrm{ACVF}_{k((t))}(0,0)$:

$$\mathrm{VF}(L) = L,\ \mathrm{RV}(L) = L^\times/(1+\mathfrak{m}_L),\ \Gamma(L) = L^\times/R_L^\times,\ \mathbf{k}(L) = R_L/\mathfrak{m}_L.$$

In general, a *definable subset* of $\mathrm{VF}^n(L)$ is a finite Boolean combination of set of the forms $\mathrm{val}(f_1) \leq \mathrm{val}(f_2)$ or $f_3 = 0$, where f_i are polynomials with coefficients in $k((t))$. The same definition may apply to *definable subsets* of $\mathrm{RV}^n(L)$, $\Gamma^n(L)$ or $\mathbf{k}^n(L)$. Correspondingly, there are natural maps between these sets $\mathrm{rv} : \mathrm{VF} \to \mathrm{RV}$, $\mathrm{val} : \mathrm{VF} \to \Gamma$, $\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}} : \mathrm{RV} \to \Gamma$ and $\mathrm{res} : R_L \to \mathbf{k}(L)$. There is an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to \mathbf{k}^\times \to \mathrm{RV} \overset{\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}}{\to} \Gamma \to 0.$$

4.2. **Measured categories** (following [13])**.**

4.2.1. VF-*categories.* Let $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}$ be the category of $k((t))$-definable sets (or definable sets, for short) endowed with definable volume forms, up to Γ-equivalence. One may show that it is graded via the following subcategories $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}[n]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. An object of $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}[n]$ is a triple (X, f, ε) with X a definable subset of $\mathrm{VF}^\ell \times \mathrm{RV}^{\ell'}$, for some ℓ, ℓ' in $\mathbb{N}$, $f : X \to \mathrm{VF}^n$ a definable map with finite fibers and $\varepsilon : X \to \Gamma$ a definable function; a morphism from (X, f, ε) to (X', f', ε') is a definable *essential* bijection $F : X \to X'$ such that

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon' \circ F + \mathrm{val}(\mathrm{Jac}F)$$

away from a proper closed subvariety of X. Here, that $F : X \to X'$ is an essential bijection means that there exists a proper closed subvariety Y of X such that $F|_{X\setminus Y} : X \setminus Y \to X' \setminus F(Y)$ is a bijection (see [13, Subsection 3.8]).

Let $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$ be the full subcategory of $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}[n]$ whose objects are bounded definable sets with bounded definable forms ε. If considering $\varepsilon : X \to \Gamma$ as the zero function, we obtain the categories volVF and volVF$[n]$ as well as volVF$^{\mathrm{bdd}}$ and volVF$^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$. In this case, the measure preserving property of a morphism F is characterized by the condition $\mathrm{val}(\mathrm{Jac}F) = 0$, outside a proper closed subvariety.

Convention. For simplicity, we shall omit the symbol f in the triple (X, f, ε) if no possibility of confusion appears.

4.2.2. RV-*categories.* Similarly, we consider the category $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}$ graded by $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}[n]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition, an object of $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}[n]$ is a triple (X, f, ε) with X a definable subset of RV^ℓ, for some ℓ in $\mathbb{N}$, $f : X \to \mathrm{RV}^n$ a definable map with finite fibers, and $\varepsilon : X \to \Gamma$ a definable function; a morphism $(X, f, \varepsilon) \to (X', f', \varepsilon')$ is a definable bijection $F : X \to X'$ such that

$$\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}(f_i) = \varepsilon' \circ F + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}(f'_i \circ F)$$

away from a proper closed subvariety (the *measure preserving* property). The category $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RES}[n]$ is defined as the full subcategory of $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}[n]$ such that, for each object (X, f, ε), $\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}(X)$ is a finite set. The category $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}$ is defined as $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}$ with $\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}$-image of objects bounded below. In the case where, for each object (X, f, ε) of one of the previous categories, taking ε being the zero function, we get the subcategories volRV, volRV$^{\mathrm{bdd}}$ and volRES.

In the present article, we also consider RES, a category defined exactly as volRES but the measure preserving property is not required for morphisms.

4.2.3. Γ-*categories.* The category $\mu\Gamma[n]$ consists of pairs (Δ, l) with Δ a definable subset of Γ^n and $l : \Delta \to \Gamma$ a definable map. A morphism $(\Delta, l) \to (\Delta', l')$ is a definable bijection $\lambda : \Delta \to \Delta'$ which is liftable to a definable bijection $\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}\Delta \to \mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}\Delta'$ such that

$$|x| + l(x) = |\lambda(x)| + l'(\lambda(x)).$$

The category $\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$ is the full subcategory of $\mu\Gamma[n]$ such that, for each object (Δ, l) of $\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$, there exists a $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\Delta \subset [\gamma, \infty)^n$. By definition, the categories $\mu\Gamma$ and $\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}$ are the direct sums $\bigoplus_{n\geq 1} \mu\Gamma[n]$ and $\bigoplus_{n\geq 1} \mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$, respectively. The subcategories whose objects are of the form $(\Delta, 0)$ will be denoted by volΓ and volΓ^{bdd}.

4.3. **Structure of $K(\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be one of the categories in Subsection 4.2. Then, as in [13], we denote the Grothendieck semiring of $\mathcal{C}$ by $K_+(\mathcal{C})$ and the associated ring by $K(\mathcal{C})$. By [13], there is a natural morphism of

$$N : K_+(\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}) \otimes K_+(\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{RES}) \to K_+(\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}) \tag{4.1}$$

constructed as follows. Note that two objects admitting a morphism λ in $\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$ define the same element in $K_+(\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n])$, hence λ lifts to a morphism in $\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$ between their pullbacks. Thus there exists a natural morphism $K_+(\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]) \to K_+(\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$ mapping the class of (Δ, l) to the class of $(\mathrm{val}^{-1}(\Delta), l \circ \mathrm{val})$. Also, for each object (X, f, ε) in $\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{RES}[n]$, we may consider an étale map $\ell : X \to \mathbf{k}^n$. By this, we have the natural morphism $K_+(\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{RES}[n]) \to K_+(\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$ by sending the class of (X, f, ε) to the class of $(X \times_{\ell,\mathrm{res}} R^n, \mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \varepsilon)$. In particular, if X is Zariski open in $\mathbf{k}^n$, then $X \times_{\ell,\mathrm{res}} R^n$ is simply $\mathrm{res}^{-1}(X)$.

Theorem 4.1 (Hrushovski-Kazhdan [13])**.** *The morphism N is a surjection. Moreover, it also induces a surjective morphism N between the associated rings.*

The description of N, or more precisely, N^{-1} modulo $\ker(N)$, in [13] and [14], is slightly more explicit and more intrinsic. Indeed, one first constructs the natural morphism

$$K_+(\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}) \otimes K_+(\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{RES}) \to K_+(\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$$

due to the inclusion $\mathrm{RES} \subset \mathrm{RV}$ and the valuation map $\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}$ (cf. [13] or [14]). This morphism is a surjection, its kernel is generated by $1 \otimes [\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}(\gamma)]_1 - [\gamma]_1 \otimes 1$, with γ definable in Γ. The subscript 1 means that the classes are in degree 1. Secondly, the canonical morphism

$$K_+(\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]) \to K_+(\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n])/_{[1]_1 \sim [\mathrm{RV}^{>0}]_1}$$

induces by the map $\mathrm{Ob}\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{RV}[n] \to \mathrm{Ob}\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{VF}[n]$ sending (X, f, ε) to $(\mathbf{L}X, \mathbf{L}f, \mathbf{L}\varepsilon)$, where $\mathbf{L}X = X \times_{f,\mathrm{rv}} (\mathrm{VF}^\times)^n$, $\mathbf{L}f(a, b) = f(a, \mathrm{rv}(b))$ and $\mathbf{L}\varepsilon(a, b) = \varepsilon(a, \mathrm{rv}(b))$.

Remark 4.2. According to [13, Proposition 10.10], an element of $K_+(\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$ may be written as a finite sum of elements of the form $[(X \times \mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}(\Delta), f, \varepsilon)]$. Furthermore, an argument in the proof of [13, Proposition 10.10] implies a fact that $[(X \times \mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}(\Delta), f, \varepsilon)] = [(X, f_0, 1)] \otimes [(\Delta, l)]$, where $f_0 : X \to \mathrm{RV}^n$, $l : \Delta \to \Gamma$ are some definable functions.

4.4. **Extending Hrushovski-Loeser's construction.**

4.4.1. *The morphisms h_m and $\widetilde{h}_m$.* From now on, we shall denote by $!K(\mathrm{RES})$ the quotient of $K(\mathrm{RES})$ subject to the relations $[\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}(a)] = [\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}(0)]$ for a in Γ, and by $!K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$ the localization of $!K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$ with respect to the multiplicative family generated by $1 - [\mathbb{A}^1]^i$, $i \geq 1$. Let m, n be in $\mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$, (Δ, l) in $\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$ and e in Γ with $me \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $\Delta(m) = \Delta \cap (1/m\mathbb{Z})^n$, $\Delta_{l,e} = l^{-1}(e)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_m(\Delta, l) &= \sum_{e \in \Gamma, me \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_{l,e}(m)} \mathbb{L}^{-m(|\gamma|+e)}(\mathbb{L}-1)^n \\ &= \sum_{e \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_{l,e/m}(m)} \mathbb{L}^{-m|\gamma|-e}(\mathbb{L}-1)^n.\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\alpha_m(\Delta, l)$ is an element of $!K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$, and moreover, α_m is independent of the choice of coordinates for Γ^n. Indeed, let λ be the morphism in

$\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}$ from $(\Delta_{l,e}, l|_{\Delta_{l,e}})$ to (Δ', l'). Then $|\lambda(\gamma)| + l'(\lambda(\gamma)) = |\gamma| + l(\gamma) = |\gamma| + e$ and the claim follows. Thus α_m defines a natural morphism of rings

$$\alpha_m : K(\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}) \to !K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]_{\mathrm{loc}}.$$

By using [14], for any $\widetilde{\Delta}$ in $\mathrm{vol}\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}$, one sets $\widetilde{\alpha}_m(\widetilde{\Delta}) = \sum_{\gamma\in\widetilde{\Delta}(m)} \mathbb{L}^{-m|\gamma|}(\mathbb{L}-1)^n$ and obtains a morphism of rings

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_m : K(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}) \to !K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]_{\mathrm{loc}}.$$

Thus we can consider α_m as an extension of $\widetilde{\alpha}_m$; moreover,

$$\alpha_m(\Delta, l) = \sum_{e\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\alpha}_m(\Delta_{l,e/m})\mathbb{L}^{-e}. \tag{4.2}$$

We are able to construct a morphism $\beta_m : K(\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RES}) \to !K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$ by using Hrushovski-Loeser's method. Thanks to Remark 4.2, however, it suffices to define value under β_m of elements of the form $[(X, f, 1)]$ with $(X, f, 1)$ an object in $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RES}$. Assume that $f(X) \subset V_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times V_{\gamma_n}$, i.e., $\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}(f_i(x)) = \gamma_i$ for every x in X. We set $\beta_m(X, f, 1) = [X](\mathbb{L}^{-1}[1]_1)^{m|\gamma|}$ if $m\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\beta_m(X, f, 1) = 0$ otherwise.

There are two steps to check that $\ker(\widetilde{\alpha}_m \otimes \beta_m)$ is contained in $\ker(N_0)$, where N_0 is N reduced to the volume version (for the structure of $K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$). These steps correspond to the factorization of N_0 into $K(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}) \otimes K(\mathrm{volRES}) \to K(\mathrm{volRV}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$ and $K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]) \to K(\mathrm{volRV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n])/_{[1]_1 \sim [\mathrm{RV}^{>0}]_1}$. Hrushovski and Loeser [14] passed these by direct computation. This can be applied to show that $\ker(\alpha_m \otimes \beta_m)$ is contained in $\ker(N)$. Consequently, we obtain from the tensor products $\widetilde{\alpha}_m \otimes \beta_m$ and $\alpha_m \otimes \beta_m$ morphisms of rings

$$\widetilde{h}_m : K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}) \to !K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$$

and

$$h_m : K(\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}) \to !K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]_{\mathrm{loc}}.$$

Moreover, there is a presentation of h_m in terms of $\widetilde{h}_m$ induced from (4.2). Namely, we have the following lemma whose proof is trivial and left to the reader.

Lemma 4.3. $h_m([(X, \varepsilon)]) = \sum_{e\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{h}_m([\varepsilon^{-1}(e/m)])\mathbb{L}^{-e}$ *(in* $!K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$*).*

4.4.2. *The morphism h.* We also use the morphisms in [14, Subsection 8.5] with their restriction, namely, $\alpha : K(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}) \to !K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$ and $\beta : K(\mathrm{volRES}) \to !K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$. By definition, $\beta([X]) = [X]$, $\alpha([\Delta]) = \chi(\Delta)(\mathbb{L}-1)^n$ if Δ is a definable subset of Γ^n, where χ is the o-minimal Euler characteristic in the sense of [13, Lemma 9.5]. Since $\ker(\alpha \otimes \beta)$ is contained in $\ker(N_0)$, it gives rise to a morphism of rings

$$K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}) \to !K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}].$$

The composition of it with the localization $!K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}] \to !K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$ will be denoted by h.

Proposition 4.4. *The formal series* $Z'(X, \varepsilon)(T) := \sum_{m\geq 1} h_m([(X, \varepsilon)])T^m$ *is a rational function. Moreover, we have* $\lim_{T\to\infty} Z'(X, \varepsilon)(T) = -h([X])$.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of [14, Proposition 8.5.1]. □

4.5. **Endowing with a $\hat{\mu}$-action and the morphisms $\mathbf{h}_m$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m$ and $\mathbf{h}$.** First, let us recall [14, 4.3]). Define a series $\{t_m\}_{m\geq 1}$ by setting $t_1 = t$, $t_{nm}^m = t_n$, $n \geq 1$. For a $\mathbf{k}((t))$-definable set X over RES, we may assume $X \subset V_{i_1/m} \times \cdots \times V_{i_n/m}$ for some n, m and i_j's. It is endowed with a natural action δ of μ_m. Now the $\mathbf{k}((t^{1/m}))$-definable function

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1/\mathrm{rv}(t_m^{i_1}), \ldots, x_n/\mathrm{rv}(t_m^{i_n}))$$

maps X to a constructible subset Y of $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbf{k}}$, where Y is endowed with a μ_m-action induced from δ. The correspondence $X \mapsto Y$ in its turn defines a morphism of rings $!K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}] \to !K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_k)[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$ ([13, Lemma 10.7], [14, Proposition 4.3.1]). Here, by definition, $!K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_k)$ is the quotient of $K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_k)$ by identifying all the classes $[\mathbb{G}_m, \sigma]$ with σ a $\hat{\mu}$-action on $\mathbb{G}_m$ induced by multiplication by roots of 1. The previous morphism together with the natural one $!K^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_k)[\mathbb{L}^{-1}] \to \mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$ induces the following morphisms of rings, both are denoted by Θ,

$$!K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}] \to \mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}} \text{ and } !K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]_{\mathrm{loc}} \to \mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}.$$

We now define ring morphisms $\mathbf{h}_m := \Theta \circ h_m$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m := \Theta \circ \widetilde{h}_m$ and $\mathbf{h} := \Theta \circ h$ with the same target $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$. In fact, while $\mathbf{h}_m$ has the source $K(\mu_\Gamma \mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m$ and $\mathbf{h}$ starts from $K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$. Similar to Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.4, we get

Lemma 4.5. $\mathbf{h}_m([(X, \varepsilon)]) = \sum_{e\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m([\varepsilon^{-1}(e/m)])\mathbb{L}^{-e}$ *(in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$).*

Proposition 4.6. *The formal series $Z(X, \varepsilon)(T) := \sum_{m\geq 1} \mathbf{h}_m([(X, \varepsilon)])T^m$ is a rational function. Moreover, we have $\lim_{T\to\infty} Z(X, \varepsilon)(T) = -\mathbf{h}([X])$.*

4.6. **Description of the motivic Milnor fibers.**

4.6.1. *Regular case.* Let γ be in Γ. A definable subset X of $\mathrm{VF}^\ell \times \mathrm{RV}^{\ell'}$ is *γ-invariant* if, for any $(x, x') \in \mathrm{VF}^\ell \times \mathrm{RV}^{\ell'}$ and any $(y, y') \in \mathrm{VF}^\ell \times \mathrm{RV}^{\ell'}$ with $\mathrm{val}(y) \geq \gamma$, both (x, x') and $(x, x') + (y, y')$ simultaneously belong to either X or the complement of X in $\mathrm{VF}^\ell \times \mathrm{RV}^{\ell'}$. By [14, Lemma 3.1.1], any bounded definable subset of VF^ℓ that is closed in the valuation topology is γ-invariant for some γ in Γ.

Assume that X is a γ-invariant definable subset of $\mathrm{VF}^n \times \mathrm{RV}^{\ell'}$, where γ is in $(1/m)\mathbb{Z} \subset \Gamma$. By [13], $X(k((t^{1/m})))$ are the pullback of some definable subset $X[m; \gamma]$ of $\left(k[t^{1/m}]/t^\gamma\right)^n \times \mathrm{RV}^{\ell'}$ and the projection $X[m; \gamma] \to \mathrm{VF}^n$ is a finite-to-one map. If γ' is in Γ with $\gamma' \geq \gamma$, the equality $[X[m; \gamma']] = [X[m; \gamma]]\mathbb{L}^{nm(\gamma'-\gamma)}$ holds in $!K(\mathrm{volRES}[n])$, thus $[X[m; \gamma]]\mathbb{L}^{-nm\gamma}$ in $!K(\mathrm{RES})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$ is independent of the choice of γ large enough. For brevity, we shall write $\widetilde{X}[m]$ for the quantity $[X[m; \gamma]]\mathbb{L}^{-nm\gamma+n}$ as well as for its image under Θ.

Proposition 4.7. (i) *For X as previous, $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m([X]) = \mathrm{loc}(\widetilde{X}[m])$.*

(ii) *Let f be a nonzero function on a d-dimensional smooth connected k-variety $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathbf{x}$ a point of $f^{-1}(0)$. Let π be the reduction map $\mathcal{X}(R) \to \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k})$. Set*

$$X := \{x \in \mathcal{X}(R) \mid \pi(x) = \mathbf{x}, \mathrm{rv}(f(x)) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\}.$$

Then $\mathbf{h}([X]) = \mathrm{loc}(\mathcal{S}_{f,\mathbf{x}})$.

(iii) *For any γ in Γ, $\mathbf{h}([\gamma]_1) = 1$ and $\mathbf{h}(\overline{[\gamma]}_1) = \mathbb{L}$. (Note that $[\gamma]_1$ and $\overline{[\gamma]}_1$ are the open and closed disks of valuative radius γ.)*

Proof. (i) See Hrushovski-Loeser [14].

(ii) We use [14, Corollary 8.4.2] for proving (ii). Since X is 2-invariant (it is in fact γ-invariant for any $\gamma > 1$ in Γ),

$$X[m;2] = \Big\{\varphi \in \mathcal{X}\big(k[t^{1/m}]/(t^2)\big) \mid \varphi(0) = \mathbf{x}, \mathrm{rv}(f(\varphi)) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\Big\}.$$

The condition $\mathrm{rv}(f(\varphi)) = \mathrm{rv}(t)$ is equivalent to $f(\varphi) \equiv t \mod t^{(m+1)/m}$, thus $X[m;2]$ is definably isomorphic via the map $t^{1/m} \mapsto t$ to

$$\big\{\varphi \in \mathcal{X}\big(k[t]/(t^{m+1})\big) \mid \varphi(0) = \mathbf{x}, f(\varphi) \equiv t^m \mod t^{m+1}\big\} \times \mathbb{A}_k^{(m-1)d_1}.$$

We get $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m([X]) = \mathrm{loc}([\mathcal{X}_{0,m}]\mathbb{L}^{-md_1})$ and the conclusion follows.

(iii) Assume $\gamma = a/b$ with a, b in $\mathbb{Z}$ and $(a,b) = 1$. Then $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_n([a/b]_1) = \mathbb{L}^{-ma}$ if $n = mb$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_n([a/b]_1) = 0$ otherwise, thus $\mathbf{h}([a/b]_1) = 1$. Also, $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_n(\overline{[a/b]}_1) = \mathbb{L}^{-ma+1}$ if $n = mb$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_n(\overline{[a/b]}_1) = 0$ otherwise, thus $\mathbf{h}(\overline{[a/b]}_1) = \mathbb{L}$. □

4.6.2. *Formal case.* Let X be a rigid $k((t))$-variety which is the generic fiber of a special formal $k[[t]]$-scheme $\mathfrak{X}$, let ω be a gauge form on X. We set $\overline{\mathfrak{X}} := \mathfrak{X}\widehat{\otimes}_{k[[t]]}k[[t]]^{\mathrm{alg}}$ and $\overline{X} := X \otimes_{k((t))} k((t))^{\mathrm{alg}}$. The integer-valued function $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\omega)$ on X was already recalled in (3.2). Using the same way, one may define a rational-valued function $\mathrm{ord}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}}(\overline{\omega})$ on $\overline{X}$, where $\overline{\omega}$ is the pullback of ω via a the natural morphism $\overline{X} \to X$. We denote this rational-valued function by val_ω.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a relatively d-dimensional special formal $k[[t]]$-scheme with structural morphism $\mathfrak{f}$. Let $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_{\eta,\mathrm{rv}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{X}_\eta(m)_{\mathrm{rv}}$) be a version of $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_\eta$ (resp. $\mathfrak{X}_\eta(m)$) in which $\mathfrak{f}_\eta(x) = t$ is replaced by $\mathrm{rv}\mathfrak{f}_\eta(x) = \mathrm{rv}(t)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{f}_\eta(x) \equiv t \mod t^{(m+1)/m}$). Then, for any gauge form ω on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$,*

(i) $\mathbf{h}_m([(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_\eta, \mathrm{val}_\omega)]) = \mathrm{loc}\big(\mathbb{L}^d \int_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta(m)} |\omega(m)|\big)$,

(ii) $\mathbf{h}_m([(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_{\eta,\mathrm{rv}}, \mathrm{val}_\omega)]) = \mathrm{loc}\big(\mathbb{L}^d \int_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta(m)_{\mathrm{rv}}} |\omega(m)|\big)$,

(iii) $\mathbf{h}([\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_{\eta,\mathrm{rv}}]) = \mathbf{h}([\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_\eta])$.

As a consequence, for a closed point $\mathbf{x}$ of $\mathfrak{X}_0$ and a gauge form ω' on $]\mathbf{x}[$,

(iv) $\mathbf{h}_m([(\overline{]\mathbf{x}[}, \mathrm{val}_{\omega'})]) = \mathrm{loc}\big(\mathbb{L}^d \int_{]\mathbf{x}[_m} |\omega'(m)|\big)$,

(v) $\mathbf{h}_m([(\overline{]\mathbf{x}[}_{\mathrm{rv}}, \mathrm{val}_{\omega'})]) = \mathrm{loc}\big(\mathbb{L}^d \int_{]\mathbf{x}[_{m,\mathrm{rv}}} |\omega'(m)|\big)$,

(vi) $\mathbf{h}(\overline{]\mathbf{x}[}_{\mathrm{rv}}) = \mathbf{h}(\overline{]\mathbf{x}[}) = \mathrm{loc}\big(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},\mathbf{x}}\big)$.

Proof. We prove (i). By Lemma 4.5,

$$\mathbf{h}_m([(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_\eta, \mathrm{val}_\omega)]) = \sum_{e\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m([\mathrm{val}_\omega^{-1}(e/m)])\mathbb{L}^{-e}. \tag{4.3}$$

By [14, Lemma 3.1.1], for each e in $\mathbb{Z}$, there exists a $\gamma_{e,m}$ in Γ such that $\mathrm{val}_\omega^{-1}(e/m)$ is $\gamma_{e,m}$-invariant. Thus it follows from Proposition 4.7(i) that

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m([\mathrm{val}_\omega^{-1}(e/m)]) &= \mathrm{loc}\big(\widetilde{\mathrm{val}_\omega^{-1}(e/m)[m]}\big)\\ &= \mathrm{loc}\big([\mathrm{val}_\omega^{-1}(e/m)[m;\gamma']]\mathbb{L}^{-md\gamma'+d}\big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

for any $\gamma' \geq \gamma_{e,m}$ in $(1/m)\mathbb{Z} \subset \Gamma$.

Let $\mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ be a Néron smoothening for $\mathfrak{X}$ with $\mathfrak{Y}$ relatively d-dimensional $k[[t]]$-formal scheme topologically of finite type. It is obvious that $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_\eta = \overline{\mathfrak{Y}}_\eta$ since $k[[t]]$ is henselian, so we can regard val_ω as a function on $\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}_\eta$. As the function

val_ω induces from the gauge form ω, thus $\mathrm{val}_\omega^{-1}(e/m)(m)$ is a stable cylinder in $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{Y}(m))$, moreover, $\big(\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{Y}(m)}(\omega(m))\big)^{-1}(e) = \mathrm{val}_\omega^{-1}(e/m)(m)$. By definition of the measure μ, cf. (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu\big(\big(\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{Y}(m)}(\omega(m))\big)^{-1}(e)\big) &= \mu\big(\mathrm{val}_\omega^{-1}(e/m)(m)\big)\\ &= [\mathrm{val}_\omega^{-1}(e/m)[m;\gamma']]\mathbb{L}^{-md\gamma'}\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

for γ' in $\mathbb{N}$ large enough. From (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5), it follows that

$$\mathbf{h}_m([(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_\eta, \mathrm{val}_\omega)]) = \mathrm{loc}\big(\mathbb{L}^d \int_{\mathfrak{Y}_\eta(m)} |\omega(m)|\big) = \mathrm{loc}\big(\mathbb{L}^d \int_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta(m)} |\omega(m)|\big).$$

This identity is also compatible with the canonical μ_m-action by definition, thus it holds in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$. The identities (ii)-(vi) are direct consequences of the first one. □

Remark 4.9. In [17], we define the *motivic nearby cycles* of a formal function $\mathfrak{f}$ and denote it by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}}$. This is a virtual variety in the Grothendieck ring $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}^{\hat{\mu}}$ of $\mathfrak{X}_0$-varieties with good $\hat{\mu}$-action. In the context of Theorem 4.8, (iii), the quantity $\mathbf{h}([\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_\eta])$ is nothing but $\mathrm{loc}\left(\int_{\mathfrak{X}_0} \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}}\right)$, where $\int_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ is the forgetful (or pushforward) morphism $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}^{\hat{\mu}} \to \mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$.

5. A new proof for the motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem

In this section, we give a model-theoretic proof for Theorem 2.1 by using the morphisms of rings $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m$ and $\mathbf{h}$. For notational simplicity, we let f and g be regular functions on $\mathbb{A}_k^{d_1}$ and $\mathbb{A}_k^{d_2}$, vanishing at their origins, respectively. Then, we shall prove that the following identity holds in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$:

$$\mathrm{loc}\left(\mathcal{S}_{f\oplus g,(0,0)}\right) = \mathrm{loc}\left(-\mathcal{S}_{f,0} * \mathcal{S}_{g,0} + \mathcal{S}_{f,0} + \mathcal{S}_{g,0}\right) \tag{5.1}$$

5.1. **Decomposition of the analytic Milnor fiber.** Consider the analytic Minor fiber of $f \oplus g$ at the origin of $\mathbb{A}_k^{d_1} \times \mathbb{A}_k^{d_2}$,

$$Z := \left\{(x,y) \in \mathfrak{m}^{d_1+d_2} \mid \mathrm{rv}(f(x)+g(y)) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\right\}.$$

This is a bounded 2-invariant definable subset of $\mathrm{VF}^{d_1+d_2}$. By Proposition 4.7(ii), $\mathbf{h}([Z]) = \mathrm{loc}\left(\mathcal{S}_{f\oplus g,(0,0)}\right)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$. Let us decompose Z into a disjoint union of sets X, Y and Z^* subject to conditions $\mathrm{val}f(x) < \mathrm{val}g(y)$, $\mathrm{val}f(x) > \mathrm{val}g(y)$ and $\mathrm{val}f(x) = \mathrm{val}g(y)$, respectively. In the sequel, we are going to compute $\mathbf{h}([X])$, $\mathbf{h}([Y])$, $\mathbf{h}([Z^*])$ and conclude.

Write $X = \{(x,y) \in \mathfrak{m}^{d_1+d_2} \mid \mathrm{rv}(f(x)) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\}$ as the product of the definable sets $X' := \{x \in \mathfrak{m}^{d_1} \mid \mathrm{rv}f(x) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{d_2} = [0]_1^{d_2}$. Proposition 4.7, the items (ii) and (iii), gives $\mathbf{h}([X_1]) = \mathrm{loc}\left(\mathcal{S}_{f,0}\right)$ and $\mathbf{h}([\mathfrak{m}^{d_2}]) = 1$, thus $\mathbf{h}([X]) = \mathrm{loc}\left(\mathcal{S}_{f,0}\right)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$. Similarly, we also have $\mathbf{h}([Y]) = \mathrm{loc}\left(\mathcal{S}_{g,0}\right)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$.

Set $Z_1^* = \{(x,y) \in Z^* \mid \mathrm{val}f(x) = 1\}$, $Z_{<1}^* = \{(x,y) \in Z^* \mid 0 < \mathrm{val}f(x) < 1\}$, then $Z^* = Z_1^* \sqcup Z_{<1}^*$. For our goal we introduce the following definable set

$$Z_0 := \left\{(x,y) \in \mathfrak{m}^{d_1+d_2} \mid \mathrm{val}(f(x)+g(y)) > 1, -\mathrm{rv}f(x) = \mathrm{rv}g(y) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\right\}.$$

We shall consider the identity $[Z^*] = ([Z_1^*] - [Z_0]) + ([Z_{<1}^*] + [Z_0])$ in $K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$.

Proposition 5.1. *For $m \geq 1$, the equality*

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m\left([Z_1^*]-[Z_0]\right) = -\mathrm{loc}\left([\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(f)] * [\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(g)]\mathbb{L}^{-m(d_1+d_2)}\right)$$

holds in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$. Moreover, also in this ring $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$, we have

$$\mathbf{h}\left([Z_1^*]-[Z_0]\right) = -\mathrm{loc}\left(S_{f,0} * S_{g,0}\right).$$

Lemma 5.2. *The following hold in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$:*

(i) $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m([Z_1^*]) = \mathrm{loc}\left([\mathcal{X}_{m,0}(f)\times\mathcal{X}_{m,0}(g)\times^{\mu_m\times\mu_m} F_1^m]\mathbb{L}^{-m(d_1+d_2)}\right)$;

(ii) $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m([Z_0]) = \mathrm{loc}\left([\mathcal{X}_{m,0}(f)\times\mathcal{X}_{m,0}(g)\times^{\mu_m\times\mu_m} F_0^m]\mathbb{L}^{-m(d_1+d_2)}\right)$.

Proof. (i) Since Z_1^* is 2-invariant, we consider $Z_1^*[m;2]$ which equals

$$\left\{(\varphi,\psi)\in\left(\frac{k[t^{1/m}]}{t^2}\right)^{d_1+d_2}\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{l}(\varphi(0),\psi(0))=(0,0),\mathrm{val}f(\varphi)=\mathrm{val}g(\psi)=1\\ f(\varphi)+g(\psi)\equiv t \mod t^{(m+1)/m}\end{array}\right\}$$

$$\cong\left\{(\varphi,\psi)\in\left(\frac{tk[t]}{t^{2m}}\right)^{d_1+d_2}\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{ord}f(\varphi)=\mathrm{ord}g(\psi)=m\\ f(\varphi)+g(\psi)\equiv t^m \mod t^{m+1}\end{array}\right\}$$

$$\cong\left\{(\varphi,\psi)\in\left(\frac{tk[t]}{t^{m+1}}\right)^{d_1+d_2}\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{ord}f(\varphi)=\mathrm{ord}g(\psi)=m\\ f(\varphi)+g(\psi)\equiv t^m \mod t^{m+1}\end{array}\right\}\times\mathbb{A}_k^{(m-1)(d_1+d_2)}.$$

We claim that there is a canonical isomorphism between

$$V:=\left\{(\varphi,\psi)\in\left(\frac{tk[t]}{t^{m+1}}\right)^{d_1+d_2}\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{ord}f(\varphi)=\mathrm{ord}g(\psi)=m\\ f(\varphi)+g(\psi)\equiv t^m \mod t^{m+1}\end{array}\right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(f)\times\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(g)\times^{\mu_m\times\mu_m} F_1^m.$$

Indeed, we define a map $\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(f)\times\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(g)\times F_1^m\to V$ that sends $(\varphi(t),\psi(t);a,b)$ to $(\varphi(at),\psi(bt))$. It then induces a well defined morphism on the quotient

$$\xi:\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(f)\times\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(g)\times^{\mu_m\times\mu_m} F_1^m\to V.$$

We also define a morphism

$$\eta: V\to\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(f)\times\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(g)\times^{\mu_m\times\mu_m} F_1^m$$

given by $\eta(\varphi(t),\psi(t)) = (\varphi((\mathrm{ac}f\varphi)^{-1/m}t),\psi((\mathrm{ac}g\psi)^{-1/m}t);(\mathrm{ac}f\varphi)^{1/m},(\mathrm{ac}g\psi)^{1/m})$. It is clear that ξ and η are inverse of each other and the claim follows. Consequently, by Proposition 4.7(i),

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m([Z_1^*]) = \mathrm{loc}\left([\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(f)\times\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(g)\times^{\mu_m\times\mu_m} F_1^m]\mathbb{L}^{-m(d_1+d_2)}\right).$$

(ii) Similarly as previous, since Z_0 is 2-invariant, $Z_0[m;2]$ is isomorphic to

$$\left\{(\varphi,\psi)\in\left(\frac{tk[t]}{t^{m+1}}\right)^{d_1+d_2}\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{ord}\left(f(\varphi)+g(\psi)\right)>m\\ -f(\varphi)\equiv g(\psi)\equiv t^m \mod t^{m+1}\end{array}\right\}\times\mathbb{A}_k^{(m-1)(d_1+d_2)}$$

Also as above, we are able to prove that the constructible set

$$\left\{(\varphi,\psi)\in\left(\frac{tk[t]}{t^{m+1}}\right)^{d_1+d_2}\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{ord}\left(f(\varphi)+g(\psi)\right)>m\\ -f(\varphi)\equiv g(\psi)\equiv t^m \mod t^{m+1}\end{array}\right\}$$

is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(f)\times\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(g)\times^{\mu_m\times\mu_m} F_0^m$, thus (ii) is proven. □

Proof of Proposition 5.1. By Lemma 5.2 and by definition of convolution product (cf. Subsection 2.3) we get $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m\left([Z_1^*]-[Z_0]\right) = -\mathrm{loc}\left([\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(f)] * [\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(g)]\mathbb{L}^{-m(d_1+d_2)}\right)$. By a property of the Hadamard product, namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\lim_{T\to\infty}\sum_{m\geq 1} -[\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(f)] * [\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(g)]\mathbb{L}^{-m(d_1+d_2)}T^m \\
&= -\left(-\lim_{T\to\infty}\sum_{m\geq 1}[\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(f)]\mathbb{L}^{-md_1}T^m\right) * \left(-\lim_{T\to\infty}\sum_{m\geq 1}[\mathcal{X}_{0,m}(g)]\mathbb{L}^{-md_2}T^m\right) \\
&= -S_{f,0} * S_{g,0},
\end{aligned}$$

we deduce that $\mathbf{h}\left([Z_1^*]-[Z_0]\right) = -\mathrm{loc}\left(S_{f,0} * S_{g,0}\right)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$. □

5.2. **Integral over Γ.** Let D be a definable subset of Γ. A function $\nu : D \to \mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$ is called *definable* if D may be partitioned into finitely many disjoint definable subsets D_i, $i \in I$, such that $\nu|_{D_i}$ is constant $c_i \in \mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$ for every i in I. Then, we define the integral of ν over D, which takes value in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$, as follows

$$\int_{\gamma\in D}\nu(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma\in D}\nu(\gamma)d\chi := \sum_{i\in I} c_i\chi(D_i).$$

Here, χ is the o-minimal Euler characteristic defined in [13, Lemma 9.5] followed by the localization morphism.

5.3. **Completion of the proof of Theorem 2.1.** In this subsection, we shall prove that $\mathbf{h}\left([Z_{<1}^*]+[Z_0]\right) = 0$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$, thus finish the proof of (5.1).

5.3.1. *Computation of* $\mathbf{h}([Z_{<1}^*])$ *and* $\mathbf{h}([Z_0])$. Let $\pi_{<1}$ denotes the definable function $Z_{<1}^* \to (0,1) \subset \Gamma$ mapping (x,y) to $\mathrm{val}f(x)$, and let $\nu : (0,1) \to \mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$ be the function defined by

$$\nu(\gamma) = \mathbf{h}([\pi_{<1}^{-1}(\gamma)]).$$

Lemma 5.3. *The function ν is definable.*

Proof. Via the definable bijection $(x,y) \mapsto (x,y,\mathrm{val}f(x))$, we may regard $Z_{<1}^*$ as a definable subset of $\mathfrak{m}^{d_1+d_2}\times(0,1)$. Consider the surjective morphism of rings

$$N_0 : K(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}) \otimes K(\mathrm{volRES}) \to K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$$

induced by N in (4.1). There exist definable subsets W_i of RES^i and Δ_i of $\Gamma^i\times(0,1)$, $0 \leq i \leq d_1+d_2$, with $N_0\left(\sum_{i=0}^{d_1+d_2}[\Delta_i]\otimes[W_{d_1+d_2-i}]\right) = [Z_{<1}^*]$. By definition of α, β (cf. 4.4.2), $(\alpha\otimes\beta)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{d_1+d_2}[\Delta_i]\otimes[W_{d_1+d_2-i}]\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_1+d_2}\chi(\Delta_i)w_{d_1+d_2-i}$, where $w_{d_1+d_2-i} := [W_{d_1+d_2-i}](\mathbb{L}-1)^i$. Similarly, for $\gamma\in(0,1)$, there are definable subsets $W_{\gamma,i}$ of RES^i, $\Delta_{\gamma,i}$ of $\Gamma^i\times\{\gamma\}$ with $N_0\left(\sum_{i=0}^{d_1+d_2}[\Delta_{\gamma,i}]\otimes[W_{\gamma,d_1+d_2-i}]\right) = [\pi_{<1}^{-1}(\gamma)]$. Also, $(\alpha\otimes\beta)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{d_1+d_2}[\Delta_{\gamma,i}]\otimes[W_{\gamma,d_1+d_2-i}]\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_1+d_2}\chi(\Delta_{\gamma,i})w_{\gamma,d_1+d_2-i}$, where $w_{\gamma,d_1+d_2-i} := [W_{\gamma,d_1+d_2-i}](\mathbb{L}-1)^i$. We claim that $w_i = w_{\gamma,i}$ in $!K(\mathrm{RES})$. Indeed, the image of W_i (resp. $W_{\gamma,i}$) in $K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$ is $[W_i\times_{\ell,\mathrm{res}}R^i]$ (resp. $[W_{\gamma,i}\times_{\ell_\gamma,\mathrm{res}}R^i]$), where $\ell : W_i \to \mathbf{k}^i$ and $\ell_\gamma : W_{\gamma,i} \to \mathbf{k}^i$ are étale maps, $R = \{\tau\in\mathrm{VF} \mid \mathrm{val}(\tau)\geq 0\}$. The unique difference between $W_i\times_{\ell,\mathrm{res}}R^i$ and $W_{\gamma,i}\times_{\ell_\gamma,\mathrm{res}}R^i$ is that the former admits the condition $0 < \mathrm{val}f(x) < 1$ while the latter satisfies $\mathrm{val}f(x) = \gamma$. Thus

$[W_i] = [W_{\gamma,i}]$ in $!K(\mathrm{RES})$. Consequently, $(\alpha\otimes\beta)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{d_1+d_2}[\Delta_{\gamma,i}]\otimes[W_{\gamma,d_1+d_2-i}]\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_1+d_2}\chi(\Delta_{\gamma,i})w_{d_1+d_2-i}$. Since $\mathbf{h}$ induces from $\alpha\otimes\beta$, we have the following

$$\mathbf{h}([Z^*_{<1}]) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_1+d_2}\chi(\Delta_i)\Theta_i, \quad \mathbf{h}([\pi^{-1}_{<1}(\gamma)]) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_1+d_2}\chi(\Delta_{\gamma,i})\Theta_i, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\Theta_i := \Theta(w_{d_1+d_2-i})$.

For $0 \le i \le d_1+d_2$, we identify $\Gamma^i\times(0,1)$ with a subset of $\Gamma^{d_1+d_2}\times(0,1)$ in an obvious manner. Let pr_2 be the second projection $\Gamma^{d_1+d_2}\times(0,1)\to(0,1)$ and $D_i := \mathrm{pr}_2(\Delta_i)$. Then $(0,1) = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{d_1+d_2} D_i$. Moreover, for any γ in $(0,1)$, $\Delta_{\gamma,i}$ is a fiber of the definable map $\Delta_i\to D_i$, all the fibers of this map are definably isomorphic. Thus $\chi(\Delta_i) = \chi(D_i)\chi(\Delta_{\gamma,i})$. It and (5.2) show that, on D_i,

$$\nu(\gamma) = \mathbf{h}([\pi^{-1}_{<1}(\gamma)]) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_1+d_2}\chi(\Delta_i)\chi(D_i)^{-1}\Theta_i, \tag{5.3}$$

which proves the definability. □

Corollary 5.4. $\int_{\gamma\in(0,1)}\mathbf{h}([\pi^{-1}_{<1}(\gamma)]) = \mathbf{h}([Z^*_{<1}])$.

Proof. By definition as well as by (5.2) and (5.3),

$$\int_{\gamma\in(0,1)}\nu(\gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_1+d_2}\nu|_{D_i}\chi(D_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_1+d_2}\chi(\Delta_i)\chi(D_i)^{-1}\Theta_i\chi(D_i) = \mathbf{h}([Z^*_{<1}]).$$

□

Let π_0 be the function $Z_0\to(1,\infty)\subset\Gamma$ that sends (x,y) to $\mathrm{val}(f(x)+g(y))$. Similarly as previous, we are able to prove the following

Corollary 5.5. *The function* $(1,\infty)\to\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}$ *given by* $\mathbf{h}([\pi_0^{-1}(\gamma)])$ *is definable, moreover,* $\int_{\gamma\in(1,\infty)}\mathbf{h}([\pi_0^{-1}(\gamma)]) = \mathbf{h}([Z_0])$.

5.3.2. *Conclusion.* Let A be the annulus $\{\tau\in\mathrm{VF}\mid 0<\mathrm{val}(\tau)<1\}$ and $p_{<1}$ the function $Z^*_{<1}\to A$ mapping (x,y) to $f(x)$. Then $\pi_{<1} = p_{<1}\circ\mathrm{val}$. The fiber over $\tau\in A$ of $p_{<1}$ is the following

$$p^{-1}_{<1}(\tau) = \left\{(x,y)\in\mathfrak{m}^{d_1+d_2}\mid f(x)=\tau, g(y)=-\tau+t\right\}. \tag{5.4}$$

As for each γ in $(0,1)$, all the fibers $p^{-1}_{<1}(\tau)$, τ in $\mathrm{val}^{-1}(\gamma)$, are definably isomorphic, since the description (5.4), it implies that the equalities

$$[\pi^{-1}_{<1}(\gamma)] = \int_{\tau\in\mathrm{val}^{-1}(\gamma)}[p^{-1}_{<1}(\tau)] = [\mathrm{val}^{-1}(\gamma)][p^{-1}_{<1,\gamma}]$$

hold in $K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$, where $[p^{-1}_{<1,\gamma}]$ is the constant function $[p^{-1}_{<1}(\tau)]$ on $\mathrm{val}^{-1}(\gamma)$. By Corollary 5.4,

$$\mathbf{h}([Z^*_{<1}]) = \int_{\gamma\in(0,1)}\mathbf{h}([\pi^{-1}_{<1}(\gamma)]) = \int_{\gamma\in(0,1)}\mathbf{h}([\mathrm{val}^{-1}(\gamma)])\mathbf{h}([p^{-1}_{<1,\gamma}]). \tag{5.5}$$

Lemma 5.6. $\mathbf{h}([p^{-1}_{<1,\gamma}])$ *is independent of the choice of* γ *in* $(0,1)$.

Proof. Using (5.4), namely,

$$p_{<1}^{-1}(\tau) = \left\{x \in \mathfrak{m}^{d_1} \mid f(x) = \tau\right\} \times \left\{y \in \mathfrak{m}^{d_2} \mid g(y) = -\tau + t\right\},$$

it suffices to prove $\mathbf{h}([\{x \in \mathfrak{m}^d \mid f(x) = t^\gamma\}]) = \mathbf{h}([\{x \in \mathfrak{m}^d \mid f(x) = t\}])$ for any regular function $f : \mathbb{A}_k^d \to \mathbb{A}_k^1$ vanishing at the origin of $\mathbb{A}_k^d$ and for any γ in $(0,1)$. Equivalently, it suffices to prove $\mathbf{h}([\{x \in \mathfrak{m}^d \mid \mathrm{rv}f(x) = \mathrm{rv}(t^\gamma)\}]) = \mathbf{h}([\{x \in \mathfrak{m}^d \mid \mathrm{rv}f(x) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\}])$ for $\gamma = a/b$ in $(0,1)$ with a and b coprime integers, $a < b$. Indeed, if m is not divisible by b, then $\mathbf{h}_m([\{x \in \mathfrak{m}^d \mid \mathrm{rv}f(x) = \mathrm{rv}(t^{a/b})\}]) = 0$. Otherwise, say, $m = bs$, then

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{bs}([\{x \in \mathfrak{m}^d \mid \mathrm{rv}f(x) = \mathrm{rv}(t^{a/b})\}]) = \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{as}([\{x \in \mathfrak{m}^d \mid \mathrm{rv}f(x) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\}]),$$

because, by a simple geometric computation, both sides are equal to $[\mathcal{X}_{0,as}(f)]\mathbb{L}^{-asd}$. This equality then implies the lemma. □

Using (5.5) and Lemma 5.6, we get $\mathbf{h}([Z_{<1}^*]) = \left(\int_{\gamma\in(0,1)} \mathbf{h}([\mathrm{val}^{-1}(\gamma)])\right) \mathbf{h}([p_{<1,\gamma}^{-1}])$. Similarly as in the proofs of Lemma 5.3 and Corollary 5.4, we can easily show that $\int_{\gamma\in(0,1)} \mathbf{h}([\mathrm{val}^{-1}(\gamma)]) = \mathbf{h}([A]) = -1$. Thus

$$\mathbf{h}([Z_{<1}^*]) = -\mathbf{h}([p_{<1,\gamma}^{-1}]) \quad (\gamma \in (0,1)). \tag{5.6}$$

Denote by B the set $\{\tau \in \mathrm{VF} \mid \mathrm{val}(\tau) > 1\}$ and consider the function $p_0 : Z_0 \to B$ defined by $p_0(x,y) = f(x) + g(y)$. Then, we have $\pi_0 = p_0 \circ \mathrm{val}$, moreover, the fiber over $\tau \in B$ of p_0 equals

$$\begin{aligned} p_0^{-1}(\tau) &= \left\{(x,y) \in \mathfrak{m}^{d_1+d_2} \mid f(x) + g(y) = \tau, -\mathrm{rv}f(x) = \mathrm{rv}g(y) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\right\} \\ &= \left\{(x,y) \in \mathfrak{m}^{d_1+d_2} \mid f(x) = ct, g(y) = -ct + \tau, c \in 1 + \mathfrak{m}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 5.6, we can show that $\mathbf{h}([p_0^{-1}(\tau)])$ is independent of $\tau \in B$ and, moreover, that

$$\mathbf{h}([p_{<1,\gamma}^{-1}]) = \mathbf{h}([p_0^{-1}(\tau)]) \tag{5.7}$$

for any γ in $(0,1)$ and any τ in B. An analogue of Lemma 5.3 and Corollary 5.4 gives rise to the formula

$$\mathbf{h}([Z_0]) = \mathbf{h}([B])\mathbf{h}([p_0^{-1}(\tau)]) = \mathbf{h}([p_0^{-1}(\tau)]) \quad (\tau \in B). \tag{5.8}$$

Finally, it follows from (5.6), (5.8) and (5.7) that $\mathbf{h}\left([Z_{<1}^*] + [Z_0]\right) = 0$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$. This together with Proposition 5.1 proves (5.1).

6. Proof of Theorem 3.4

It is Theorem 4.8 that completely interprets the role of the morphisms $\mathbf{h}_m$ and $\mathbf{h}$ in understanding the motivic Milnor fiber of a formal function from the non-archimedean geometry point of view. Motivated by this, to prove Theorem 3.4, also as the proof of the regular version (Section 5), we work on analytic Milnor fibers (in the sense of [20]) considered as definable sets in the theory $\mathrm{ACVF}_{k((t))}(0,0)$.

6.1. **Using arguments in Section 5.** Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the analytic Minor fiber $\overline{]0,0)[}$ of $\mathfrak{f}\oplus\mathfrak{g}$ at the origin $(0,0)$ of $\mathbb{A}_k^{d_1}\times\mathbb{A}_k^{d_2}$, namely,

$$\mathcal{Z}=\left\{(x,y)\in\mathfrak{m}^{d_1+d_2}\mid f(x)+g(y)=t\right\}.$$

(To indicate precisely the origin of $\mathbb{A}_k^{d_i}$, if necessary, we write 0_{d_i} instead of 0.) It induces immediately from Theorem 4.8 that $\mathbf{h}([\mathcal{Z}])=\mathrm{loc}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}\oplus\mathfrak{g},(0,0)}\right)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$. Write $\mathcal{Z}$ as a disjoint union of definable subsets $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Z}^*$ respectively defined by $\mathrm{val}f(x)<\mathrm{val}g(y)$, $\mathrm{val}f(x)>\mathrm{val}g(y)$ and $\mathrm{val}f(x)=\mathrm{val}g(y)$. Also by Theorem 4.8, we have $\mathbf{h}([\mathcal{X}])=\mathrm{loc}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},0}\right)$ and $\mathbf{h}([\mathcal{Y}])=\mathrm{loc}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{g},0}\right)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$.

To continue, we modify slightly $\mathcal{Z}^*$ into $Z^\dagger$, where

$$Z^\dagger=\left\{(x,y)\in\mathfrak{m}^{d_1+d_2}\mid \mathrm{rv}(f(x)+g(y))=\mathrm{rv}(t),\mathrm{val}f(x)=\mathrm{val}g(y)\right\},$$

and note that $\mathbf{h}([Z^\dagger])=\mathbf{h}([0]_1^{d_1+d_2}\cdot[\mathcal{Z}^*])=\mathbf{h}([\mathcal{Z}^*])$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{loc}}^{\hat{\mu}}$ since $\mathbf{h}([0]_1)=1$. Now, decompose $Z^\dagger$ into a disjoint union of $Z_1^\dagger=\{(x,y)\in Z^\dagger\mid \mathrm{val}f(x)=1\}$ and $Z_{<1}^\dagger=\{(x,y)\in Z^\dagger\mid 0<\mathrm{val}f(x)<1\}$. Similarly as in Section 5, we use the definable set

$$Z_0^\dagger:=\left\{(x,y)\in\mathfrak{m}^{d_1+d_2}\mid \mathrm{val}(f(x)+g(y))>1,-\mathrm{rv}f(x)=\mathrm{rv}g(y)=\mathrm{rv}(t)\right\}$$

and present $[Z^\dagger]$ as the sum $([Z_1^\dagger]-[Z_0^\dagger])+([Z_{<1}^\dagger]+[Z_0^\dagger])$ in $K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$. As in Subsection 5.3, we also obtain $\mathbf{h}([Z_{<1}^\dagger]+[Z_0^\dagger])=0$. In the sequel, we shall prove that $\mathbf{h}([Z_1^\dagger]-[Z_0^\dagger])=-\mathrm{loc}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},0}*\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{g},0}\right)$ and the proof of Theorem 3.4 is completed.

6.2. **Using motivic integral via Subsections 3.2, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, Section 5.** In this subsection, we prove the following

Proposition 6.1. *With the previous notation, we have*

$$\mathbf{h}([Z_1^\dagger]-[Z_0^\dagger])=-\mathrm{loc}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},0}*\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{g},0}\right).$$

Let $\mathfrak{Z}_1$ (resp. $\mathfrak{Z}_2$) be a Néron smoothening for the formal completion of $\mathfrak{X}$ at 0_{d_1} (resp. for the formal completion of $\mathfrak{Y}$ at 0_{d_2}) with $\mathfrak{Z}_1$ and $\mathfrak{Z}_2$ smooth, topologically of finite type over $k[[t]]$. For any integer $m\geq 1$, let $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{Z}(m))_{\mathrm{rv}}$ be the space defined as $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{Z}(m))$ but $\mathfrak{f}(x)=t$ replaced by $\mathfrak{f}(x)\equiv t \mod t^{(m+1)/m}$, where $\mathfrak{f}$ is the structural morphism of $\mathfrak{Z}$. For $i\in\{1,2\}$, let ω_i be a bounded gauge form on $]0_{d_i}[$ (remark that $]0_{d_1}[=\mathfrak{Z}_{1,\eta}$ and $]0_{d_2}[=\mathfrak{Z}_{2,\eta}$), and, for any integer e_i, set

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{Z}_i(m),\omega_i(m),e_i):=\mu(\{\varphi\in\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{Z}_i(m))_{\mathrm{rv}}\mid \mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{Z}_i(m)}(\omega_i(m))(\varphi)=e_i\}),$$

which is an element of $\mathcal{M}_k^{\hat{\mu}}$, by the μ_m-action $a\varphi(t):=\varphi(at)$. By definition,

$$\int_{]0_{d_i}[_{m,\mathrm{rv}}}|\omega_i(m)|=\sum_{e_i\in\mathbb{Z}}\Phi(\mathfrak{Z}_i(m),\omega_i(m),e_i)\mathbb{L}^{-e_i},$$

for $i\in\{1,2\}$, where the sum runs over a finite set as ω_i is a gauge form (see [18]). One thus deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_{]0_{d_1}[_{m,\mathrm{rv}}}|\omega_1(m)|\right)*\left(\int_{]0_{d_2}[_{m,\mathrm{rv}}}|\omega_2(m)|\right)\\ =\sum_{e_1,e_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\Phi(\mathfrak{Z}_1(m),\omega_1(m),e_1)*\Phi(\mathfrak{Z}_2(m),\omega_2(m),e_2)\mathbb{L}^{-(e_1+e_2)}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.1}$$

For e_1, e_2 in Γ, let $Z^\dagger_{1,e_1,e_2}$ (resp. $Z^\dagger_{0,e_1,e_2}$) be the subset of $Z^\dagger_1$ (resp. $Z^\dagger_0$) such that $\mathrm{val}_{\omega_1}(x) = e_1$ and $\mathrm{val}_{\omega_2}(y) = e_2$. For e in Γ, set $Z^\dagger_{1,e} := \bigcup_{e_1+e_2=e} Z^\dagger_{1,e_1,e_2}$ and $Z^\dagger_{0,e} := \bigcup_{e_1+e_2=e} Z^\dagger_{0,e_1,e_2}$.

Lemma 6.2. *For any integer $m \geq 1$, for any e_1, e_2 in Γ with $me_1, me_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m([Z^\dagger_{1,e_1,e_2}] - [Z^\dagger_{0,e1,e_2}])\\ &\quad = -\mathrm{loc}\left(\mathbb{L}^{d_1+d_2}\Phi(\mathfrak{Z}_1(m), \omega_1(m), me_1) * \Phi(\mathfrak{Z}_2(m), \omega_2(m), me_2)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{Z}_1$ is topologically of finite type, there exist a convergent power series $\widetilde{f}$ in $k\{x\}$ vanishing at 0 (hence a $k[[t]]$-scheme $\mathcal{X} = \mathrm{Spec}\big(k[[t]][x]/(\widetilde{f}(x) - t)\big)$) such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Gr}_\ell(\mathfrak{Z}_1)(k) &= \left\{\varphi \in \left(\mathcal{X} \otimes_{k[[t]]} (k[t]/t^{\ell+1})\right)(k[t]/t^{\ell+1}) \mid \mathrm{val}(\varphi) > 0\right\}\\ &\cong \left\{\varphi \in \left(tk[t]/t^{\ell+1}\right)^{d_1} \mid \widetilde{f}(\varphi) = t\right\}\end{aligned}$$

for ℓ in $\mathbb{N}$. Similarly, $\mathrm{Gr}_\ell(\mathfrak{Z}_2)(k) \cong \{\varphi \in \left(tk[t]/t^{\ell+1}\right)^{d_2} \mid \widetilde{g}(\varphi) = t\}$ for some $\widetilde{g}$ in $k\{y\}$ with $\widetilde{g}(0) = 0$. Thus, $\mathbb{L}^{d_1}\Phi(\mathfrak{Z}_1(m), \omega_1(m), me_1)$ equals $\mathbb{L}^{-\ell m d_1}$ times

$$\left[\left\{\varphi \in \left(tk[t]/t^{\ell m+1}\right)^{d_1} \mid \widetilde{f}(\varphi) \equiv t^m \mod t^{m+1}, \mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1}(\omega_1)(\varphi) = me_1\right\}\right],$$

and $\mathbb{L}^{d_2}\Phi(\mathfrak{Z}_2(m), \omega_2(m), me_2)$ equals $\mathbb{L}^{-\ell m d_2}$ times

$$\left[\left\{\psi \in \left(tk[t]/t^{\ell m+1}\right)^{d_2} \mid \widetilde{g}(\psi) \equiv t^m \mod t^{m+1}, \mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{Z}_2}(\omega_2)(\psi) = me_2\right\}\right],$$

for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough. At this time, we may use the arguments in the proof of Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.1, hence conclusion. □

Lemma 6.3. *For any integer $m \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&-\mathbf{h}_m([(\overline{]0_{d_1}[}_{\mathrm{rv}}, \mathrm{val}_{\omega_1})]) * \mathbf{h}_m([(\overline{]0_{d_2}[}_{\mathrm{rv}}, \mathrm{val}_{\omega_2})])\\ &\qquad = \mathbf{h}_m([(Z^\dagger_1, \mathrm{val}_{\omega_1} \oplus \mathrm{val}_{\omega_2})] - [(Z^\dagger_0, \mathrm{val}_{\omega_1} \oplus \mathrm{val}_{\omega_2})]),\end{aligned}$$

where, by definition, $\mathrm{val}_{\omega_1} \oplus \mathrm{val}_{\omega_2}(x, y) = \mathrm{val}_{\omega_1}(x) + \mathrm{val}_{\omega_2}(y)$.

Proof. Applying (6.1) and Lemmas 6.2, 4.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&-\mathbf{h}_m([(\overline{]0_{d_1}[}_{\mathrm{rv}}, \mathrm{val}_{\omega_1})]) * \mathbf{h}_m([(\overline{]0_{d_2}[}_{\mathrm{rv}}, \mathrm{val}_{\omega_2})])\\ &= -\mathrm{loc}\left(\mathbb{L}^{d_1}\int_{]0_{d_1}[_{m,\mathrm{rv}}} |\omega_1(m)|\right) * \mathrm{loc}\left(\mathbb{L}^{d_2}\int_{]0_{d_2}[_{m,\mathrm{rv}}} |\omega_2(m)|\right)\\ &= -\mathrm{loc}\left(\mathbb{L}^{d_1+d_2}\sum_{e_1,e_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \Phi(\mathfrak{Z}_1(m), \omega_1(m), e_1) * \Phi(\mathfrak{Z}_2(m), \omega_2(m), e_2)\mathbb{L}^{-(e_1+e_2)}\right)\\ &= \sum_{e\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_m([Z^\dagger_{1,e/m}] - [Z^\dagger_{0,e/m}])\mathbb{L}^{-e}\\ &= \mathbf{h}_m([(Z^\dagger_1, \mathrm{val}_{\omega_1} \oplus \mathrm{val}_{\omega_2})] - [(Z^\dagger_0, \mathrm{val}_{\omega_1} \oplus \mathrm{val}_{\omega_2})]).\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proven. □

Proof of Proposition 6.1 and Theorem 3.4. Thanks to Lemma 6.3, Proposition 4.6 and Theorem 4.8, we have $\mathbf{h}([Z^\dagger_1] - [Z^\dagger_0]) = -\mathrm{loc}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},0} * \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{g},0}\right)$ as desired. The proof of Theorem 3.4 is deduced from Proposition 6.1 and Subsection 6.1. □

References

[1] A. Abbes, *Éléments de Géométrie Rigide. Volume I: Construction et étude géométrique des espaces rigides*, Progress in Mathematics, **286**, Birkhäuser, 2010.

[2] S. Bosch and W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, *Formal and rigid geometry, III: The relative maximum principle*, Math. Ann. **302** (1995), 1–29.

[3] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Motivic Igusa zeta functions*, J. Algebraic Geom. **7** (1998), 505–537.

[4] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Germs of arcs on singular algebraic varieties and motivic integration*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), 201–232.

[5] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Motivic exponential integrals and a motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem*, Duke Math. J. **99** (1999), no. 2, 285–309.

[6] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *"Geometry on arc spaces of algebraic varieties"* in European congress of Mathematics, Vol. 1 (Barcelona, 2000), Progress in Mathematics **201**, Birkhäuser, 327–348 (2001).

[7] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Lefschetz numbers of iterates of the monodromy and truncated arcs*, Topology **41** (2002), no. 5, 1031-1040.

[8] L. Fu, *A Thom-Sebastiani theorem in characteristic p*, preprint, arXiv:1105.5210.

[9] M. J. Greenberg, *Schemata over local rings*, Ann. of Math. **73** (1961), 624–648.

[10] G. Guibert, F. Loeser and M. Merle, *Iterated vanishing cycles, convolution, and a motivic analogue of the conjecture of Steenbrink*, Duke Math. J. **132** (2006), no. 3, 409–457.

[11] G. Guibert, F. Loeser and M. Merle, *Nearby cycles and composition with a non-degenerate polynomial*, International Mathematics Research Notices **31** (2005), 1873–1888.

[12] G. Guibert, F. Loeser and M. Merle, *Composition with a two variable function*, Math. Res. Lett. **16** No. 3 (2009), 439–448.

[13] E. Hrushovski and D. Kazhdan, *Integration in valued fields*, in Algebraic and Number Theory, Progress in Mathematics **253**, Birkhäuser, 261–405 (2006).

[14] E. Hrushovski and F. Loeser, *Monodromy and the Lefschetz fixed point formula*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., to appear, arXiv:1111.1954.

[15] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Stability structures, motivic Donalson-Thomas invariants and cluster tranformations*, arXiv: 0811.2435vl.

[16] G. Laumon, *Transformation de Fourier, constantes d'équations fontionnelles, et conjecture de Weil*, Publ. Math. IHES **65** (1987), 131–210.

[17] Q.T. Lê, *Proofs of the integral identity conjecture over algebraically closed fields*, Duke Math. J., to appear, arXiv:1206.5334.

[18] F. Loeser and J. Sebag, *Motivic integration on smooth rigid varieties and invariants of degenerations*, Duke Math. J. **119** (2003), no. 2, 315–344.

[19] E. Looijenga, *Motivic measures*, Astérisque **276** (2002), 267–297, Séminaire Bourbaki 1999/2000, no. 874.

[20] J. Nicaise and J. Sebag, *Motivic Serre invariants, ramification, and the analytic Milnor fiber*, Invent. Math. **168** (2007), no. 1, 133-173.

[21] J. Nicaise, *A trace formula for rigid varieties, and motivic Weil generating series for formal schemes*, Math. Ann. **343** (2009), 285-349.

[22] M. Saito, *Mixed Hodge modules and applications*, Proceedings of the ICM Kyoto (1991), 725-734.

[23] J. Sebag, *Intégration motivique sur les schémas formels*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **132** (2004), no. 1, 1–54.

[24] M. Sebastiani and R. Thom, *Un résultat sur la monodromie*, Invent. Math. **13** (1971), 90-96.

[25] J. H. M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology*, Real and complex singularities, Oslo 1976, Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn (1977), 525-563.

[26] M. Temkin, *Desingularization of quasi-excellent schemes in characteristic zero*, Adv. Math. **219** (2008), no. 2, 488–522.

[27] A. N. Varchenko, *Asymptotic Hodge structure in the vanishing cohomology* (in Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math. **45** (1981), 540–591; English translation in Math. USSR Izv. **18** (1982), 469–512.

Institut de Recherche Mathématiques de Rennes (IRMAR), 263 Avenue du General Leclerc CS 74205 35042 Rennes Cedex, France (current)
E-mail address: thuong.lequy@univ-rennes1.fr

Department of Mathematics, Vietnam National University, 334 Nguyen Trai Street, Thanh Xuan District, Hanoi, Vietnam
E-mail address: thuonglq@vnu.edu.vn